

Performance Evaluation of an On Demand Routing Protocol Using Mobile Agent

Soumi Das, Namrata Sahayam

Abstract— Congestion in mobile ad hoc networks leads to transmission delays and packet loss, and causes wastage of time and energy on recovery. Routing protocols which are adaptive to the congestion status of a mobile ad hoc network can greatly improve the network performance. In this paper, an attempt has been made to compare the performance of an on-demand reactive routing protocol for mobile ad hoc networks and a mobile agent based congestion aware routing protocol. Performance is evaluated with respect to packet loss and network throughput..

Index Terms-AODV, MACAR, Mobile Agents.

I. INTRODUCTION

A wireless ad hoc network is usually defined as a set of wireless mobile nodes dynamically self-organizing a temporary network without any central administration or existing network infrastructure. Since the nodes in wireless ad hoc networks can serve as routers and hosts, they can forward packets for other nodes if they are on the route from source to the destination. Wireless ad hoc networks make it easy to achieve ubiquitous communication. Routing is an important problem in wireless ad hoc networks. The traditional routing protocols cannot work well in wireless ad hoc networks, because of the characteristic of wireless ad hoc networks. In the recent decade, many routing protocols specially designed for wireless ad hoc networks were proposed. They are usually classified by the approach they use for maintaining and updating their routing tables. The two main kinds of them are: reactive protocols and proactive protocols. In reactive protocols, a route is not searched for unless it is needed, and it can be acquired in an on-demand fashion. The advantage of these protocols is that unnecessary exchange of route information is avoided, leaving more network resources available for other network traffic. The disadvantage is that the delay resulted from the route discovery is a little larger. In proactive protocols, such as DSDV (Destination-Sequenced Distance Vector) [3], each node maintains a routing table with routes to all other nodes in the network, and updates the tables by broadcasting periodically. The advantage of this approach is that routes between any source-destination pairs are available all the time, they can communicate immediately. But these protocols are not suitable for large networks because many unused routes still need to be maintained and the periodic updating may incur overwhelming processing and communication overhead. Congestion is one of the most important restrictions of wireless ad hoc networks. It may deteriorate the performance of the whole network. Many packets may be dropped at congested nodes, so routing

protocols without congestion information may lead to long delay, high overhead and low throughput in wireless ad hoc networks. Congestion occurs in mobile ad hoc networks (MANETs) with limited resources. In such networks, packet transmissions suffer from interference and fading and results packet loss. Keeping this problem in mind, a mobile agent based congestion aware routing protocol is developed [1] where intelligent mobile agent is used to solve several network communication problems like routing, security etc [2, 3, 4, and 7]. Mobile agents are software entities that act on behalf of their creators and move independently between hosts. In general, a mobile agent executes on a machine that hopefully provides the resources or services that it needs to do its work. Lange and Oshima [5] enumerate several benefits of using mobile agents of particular interest to MANET routing are:

- Mobile agents are able to upgrade protocols in use by moving to a destination and setting up communications operating under revised policies.
- After being dispatched, mobile agents become independent of the process that created them and can operate asynchronously and react dynamically and autonomously to environmental changes.
- Mobile agents can reduce network load and latency by running remotely.

Detailed comparison of MACAR and AODV is done in [1] taking network throughput, end to end delay and routing overhead in account by varying parameters such as mobility, load and transmission range. In this paper we study the performance of these protocols using quantitative metrics: packet loss and network throughput. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section II gives a brief overview of AODV and MACAR. Section III provides the results of the simulation and their analysis. Section IV gives concludes the paper and finally, section V gives future scope of the study.

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROTOCOLS

A. AODV

AODV is the reactive routing Protocol which create and maintain routes only if these are needed, on demand [6]. If a node desires to send a message to a destination node for which it does not have a valid route to, it initiates a route discovery to locate the destination node. The source node broadcasts a route request (RREQ) packet to all its neighbors, which then forward the request to their neighbors and so on until either the destination or an intermediate node with a fresh enough route to the

destination listed in the RREQ is located. AODV makes use of sequence numbers to ensure that routes are loop free. Each node maintains its sequence number, and a broadcast ID. The sequence number is incremented whenever there is a change in the neighborhood of the node and the broadcast ID is incremented for every route discovery the node initiates. Along with its sequence number and the broadcast ID, the source node also includes the most recent sequence number it has for the destination node. Intermediate nodes may reply to the RREQ if they have a route to the destination with a destination sequence number equal to or more than the one listed in the RREQ. If additional copies of the same RREQ are later received, these packets are simply discarded. When the RREQ reaches the destination or an intermediate node (having fresh enough route to the destination), it responds by sending a route reply (RREP) packet back to the source. Periodic HELLO broadcasts are used in AODV by the nodes in the network to inform each mobile node of the other nodes in its neighborhood. These broadcasts are used to maintain the local connectivity. If a node along the routes moves, its upstream neighbors notices the move and propagates a link failure notification/route error message (RRER) to each of its active upstream neighbors to inform of the removal of that part of the route.

B. MACAR

MACAR is a hybrid protocol where intelligent mobile agents can be used with any on-demand routing protocol proposed in MANETs [1]. Ad Hoc On Demand Distance Vector Routing (AODV) is used as an underlying protocol over which mobile agent is used. The mobile agents in MACAR collect congestion based network connectivity information. This information provides “ready routes” when there is a need for new routes to destination, thus avoiding the expensive route discovery procedure and reducing end to end delay. Since these routes are selected based on congestion information rather than shortest path, they result in spatial distribution of traffic across the network. This reduces the occurrence of congestion and hence a congestion avoidance measure is provided by MACAR. Even if congestion occurs in the network, then the congestion based network connectivity information brought by agents can be used to provide alternate routes that bypass the congested domain. This is a congestion control measure provided by MACAR. Thus MACAR assists the routing protocols in becoming congestion aware. This helps the routing protocol to avoid congestion if possible. MACAR does both congestion avoidance and congestion control based on the situation.

III.SIMULATION AND RESULTS

A. Simulation Model

The protocols MACAR and AODV have been simulated in NS-2(ns2.31) a discrete event simulator that can model and simulate multihop wireless adhoc networks. The implementation environment used is Windows operating system with cygwin. The distributed coordination function (DCF) of the IEEE standard 802.11 for wireless LANs is used as MAC layer. Radio model used with a nominal bit-rate of 2 Mbps. Simulation is carried out with Constant Bit Rate (CBR) traffic. Packets sent by routing layer are queued at the interface queue till MAC layer can transmit them. The size of Interface Queue used is 50 packets long. The other considerations made for the simulation environment and protocol settings are shown in Table I.

Table I: Simulation Environment

Routing Protocol	AODV
Network Space	800x600 meters
Simulation Time	100 seconds
Number Of Nodes	10
Physical/MAC layer	IEEE 802.11 at 2 Mbps
Transmission Range	250 meters
Interface Queue	Queue/Drop Tail/PriQueue
IFQ length	50 packets
Agent Type	TCP/UDP
Mobility Model	Random
Node Speed	Random
Traffic Type	CBR (1024 bytes/sec)

B. Simulation Results

The performance analysis of MACAR and AODV is done using following performance metrics: Packet loss and Network Throughput.

a. Packet Loss

It is the measure of packet lost due to congestion. Fig.1 shows the packet loss, where AODV_lost.tr represents the packet lost in AODV and MACAR_lost.tr represents the packet lost in MACAR. It is clearly shown that the packet lost in AODV is much higher than the packet lost in MACAR which shows that MACAR outperforms in the congestion affected scenario.



Fig 1: Packet Loss Analysis

b. Network Throughput

Network Throughput is defined as the actual amount of data that is moved from the source to the destination per second. It does not include the control overhead of the protocol. Fig.2 shows the Network Throughput where AODV_Throughput represents the network throughput in AODV and MACAR_Throughput represents the network throughput in MACAR. In case of MACAR the Network Throughput is high consistently as compared to AODV because of the availability of non congested routes.



Fig 2: Network Throughput Analysis

IV.CONCLUSION

The area of ad hoc networking has been receiving increasing attention among researchers in recent years. However, congestion is the most important restrictions of wireless ad hoc networks. It deteriorates the performance of wireless ad hoc networks [8]. Using mobile agent solves this problem and helps network become congestion free. We have compared and evaluated the advantages of using mobile agents in an on demand routing protocol with considering Packet Loss shown in Fig.1 and Network Throughput shown in Fig.2 in account. The results clearly show that the performance of MACAR is far much better than using AODV as MACAR routes the packets on the basis of congestion information provided by mobile agents.

V.FUTURE SCOPE

As a future work we can incorporate the concept of mobile agent into multicast protocols such as Multicast Ad-hoc on demand Distance Vector (MAODV) and make them congestion aware and make it suitable for multimedia communication.

REFERENCES

[1] Shekhar H M P, and K S Ramanatha, “Mobile Agent Based Congestion Aware Routing in Mobile Ad Hoc Networks,” 3G and Beyond, 2005 6th IEE Conference on Publication Year : 2005, Pages 1-7

[2] Shekhar H M P, Arun Kumar M A, and K S Ramanatha, “ Mobile Agents Aided Multicast Routing for Mobile Ad Hoc Networks”, Proc. Of 7th IEEE Intl. Conference on ‘Advanced Communication Technology’, February 21-23, 2005, Phoenix Park, Republic of Korea.

[3] N. Minar, K. H. Kramer and P. Maes, “Cooperating Mobile Agents for Dynamic Network Routing”, Software Agents for Future Communication Systems, Chapter 12, Springer Verlag, 1999.

[4] R. R. Choudhary, S. Bandhopadhyay and K. Paul, “A Distributed Mechanism for topology discovery in Ad hoc Wireless Networks Using Mobile Agents,” Proc. Of Mobicom, Aug. 2000, pp. 145-146

[5] D. Lange and M. Oshima: “Seven Good Reasons for Mobile Agents”. Communication of the ACM 42(3) (1999) 88–89.

[6] Elizabeth M Royer and Chai-Keong Toh, "A Review of Current Routing Protocols for Ad Hoc Mobile Wireless Networks", IEEE Personal Communications, Apr. 2003, pp. 46- 55.

[7] Li Hong; Dan Chu; Min Wang; Shurong Li, “Mobile Agent Based Congestion Control AODV Routing Protocol,” Wireless Communications, Networking and Mobile Computing, 2008. WiCOM '08. 4th International Conference on Publication Year : 2008, Pages 1-4

[8] Wu Wei, Zhang Zhongzhao, Sha Xuejun, Qin Danyang, “A Study of Congestion-aware Routing Protocols for Wireless Ad hoc Networks”, Wireless Communications, Networking and Mobile Computing, 2008, Wicom '08. 4th International Conference on, Publication Year: 2008, Pages 1-4

AUTHOR’S PROFILE



Soumi Das received the B.E. degree from Patel College Of Science and Technology, Rajiv Gandhi Technical University, Bhopal(MP) India, in 2010, and is currently pursuing the Master of Engineering degree in the Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Jabalpur Engineering College, Jabalpur (MP) India. Her research interest is in Wireless Communication.



Namrata Sahayam received the B.E degree from Government Engineering College, Rewa, India, in 2003, and received the Master of Technology in Digital Communication, RGPV, Bhopal (MP), India in 2008. Currently working as an Assistant Professor in Jabalpur Engineering College, Jabalpur (MP), India and an Associate member of The Institution of Engineers, India. Her research interest is in Wireless Communication.