

Topics On the Diagonal Matrix Functions

Ali Sameripour¹

¹ Research supported by Lorestan University,
Khorramabad, Iran

Abstract: Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary i.e. $\partial\Omega \in C^\infty$. In this paper we consider the matrix function $a(x) \in C^n(\bar{\Omega}; \text{End } C^l)$. Assume that for every $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, the matrix $a(x)$ has l simple eigenvalues $\mu_1(x), \dots, \mu_l(x)$. Then the eigenvalues of the matrix $a(x)(x \in \bar{\Omega})$ may be enumerated in such a way that $\mu_j(x) \in C(\bar{\Omega})(j=1, \dots, l)$. There exists a matrix function $U(x) \in C^n(\bar{\Omega}; \text{End } C^l)$ such that $U^{-1}(x) \in C^n(\bar{\Omega}; \text{End } C^l)$ and $a(x) = U(x)\Lambda(x)U^{-1}(x)$, where $\Lambda(x)$ is the diagonal matrix: $\Lambda(x) = \text{diag}\{\mu_1(x), \dots, \mu_l(x)\}$ At the same time $\mu_j \in C^n(\bar{\Omega})(j=1, \dots, l)$.²

Key words and phrases: Diagonal Matrix Function, Eigenvalues.

I. INTRODUCTION

We consider the matrix function $a(x) \in C^n(\bar{\Omega}; \text{End } C^l)$. Assume that for every $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, the matrix $a(x)$ has l simple eigenvalues $\mu_1(x), \dots, \mu_l(x)$. Then the eigenvalues of the matrix $a(x)(x \in \bar{\Omega})$ may be enumerated in such a way that $\mu_j(x) \in C(\bar{\Omega})(j=1, \dots, l)$, then the following theorem on The diagonalizing matrix functions holds

Theorem on The diagonalizing matrix functions There exists a matrix function

$$U(x) \in C^n(\bar{\Omega}; \text{End } C^l)$$

such that $U^{-1}(x) \in C^n(\bar{\Omega}; \text{End } C^l)$ and

$$a(x) = U(x)\Lambda(x)U^{-1}(x), (1)$$

where $\Lambda(x)$ is the diagonal matrix:

$$\Lambda(x) = \text{diag}\{\mu_1(x), \dots, \mu_l(x)\}$$

At the same time $\mu_j \in C^n(\bar{\Omega})(j=1, \dots, l)$.

Let $x_0 \in \bar{\Omega}$. We first construct the matrix function

$$U(x) \in C^n(V_{x_0}; \text{End } C^l)$$

for a sufficiently small neighborhood $V_{x_0} \subset \bar{\Omega}$ of x_0 satisfying (1) in V_{x_0} and the inclusion

$$U^{-1}(x) \in C^n(V_{x_0}; \text{End } C^l).$$

Let $r \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ be a fixed index. We introduce the matrix

$$Q(x) = 1/(2\pi i) \int_{\gamma_\varepsilon} (a(x) - zI)^{-1} dz, (2)$$

$$|x - x_0| < \varepsilon', x \in \bar{\Omega}$$

Here $\gamma_\varepsilon = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - \mu_r(x_0)| = \varepsilon\}$ is a contour oriented counterclockwise. Denote

$$V_\varepsilon = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - \mu_r(x_0)| < \varepsilon\},$$

$$W_{\varepsilon'} = \{x \in \bar{\Omega} : |x - x_0| < \varepsilon'\},$$

$$\mu_i(W_{\varepsilon'}) = \{\mu_i(x) : x \in W_{\varepsilon'}\}.$$

For sufficiently small numbers $\varepsilon, \varepsilon'$ we have

$$\mu_i(W_{\varepsilon'}) \cap \mu_j(W_{\varepsilon'}) = \emptyset \quad (i \neq j)$$

$$\mu_i(W_{\varepsilon'}) \cap V_\varepsilon = \emptyset \quad (i \neq r)$$

$$\mu_r(W_{\varepsilon'}) \subset W_\varepsilon$$

We denote by the symbol tr the trace of a matrix or an operator. Considering the following equality

$$\text{tr} \int_{\gamma_\varepsilon} z(a(x) - zI)^{-1} dz = \sum_{j=1}^l \int_{\gamma_\varepsilon} z(\mu_j(x) - z)^{-1} dz,$$

we obtain

$$\mu_r(x) = 1/(2\pi i) \text{tr} \int_{\gamma_\varepsilon} z(a(x) - zI)^{-1} dz. (3)$$

Since $a(x) \in C^n(\bar{\Omega}; \text{End } C^l)$, it follows that

$$\mu_j(x) \in C^n(\bar{\Omega}) \quad (j=1, \dots, l).$$

Let $y_v(x) = (y_{1v}(x), \dots, y_{lv}(x))$ be the eigenvectors of the matrix $a(x) = (a_{ij}(x))_{i,j=1}^l$ corresponding to the eigenvalues $\mu_v(x)$, i. e.

$$\sum_{j=1}^l a_{ij}(x) y_{jv}(x) = \mu_v(x) y_{iv}(x) \quad (i = 1, \dots, l).$$

It is easy to verify that the matrix $U(x) = (y_{ij}(x))_{i,j=1}^l$ satisfies the equalities

$$(a(x)U(x))_{pq} = \sum_{v=1}^l a_{pv}(x) y_{vq}(x) = \mu_q(x) y_{pq}(x),$$

$$(U(x)\Lambda(x))_{pq} = \sum_{v=1}^l y_{pv}(x) \delta_{vq} \mu_v(x) = \mu_q(x) y_{pq}(x)$$

where δ_{vq} is the Kronecker delta. Therefore, $a(x)U(x) = U(x)\Lambda(x)$. Since the columns of the matrix $U(x)$ consists of the linearly independent eigenvectors of the matrix $a(x)$ we have

$$\det U(x) \neq 0 \quad (x \in W_{\varepsilon'}), (4)$$

From the following equality

$$(a(x) - zI)^{-1} = U(x)(\Lambda(x) - zI)^{-1}U^{-1}(x), \quad (x \in W_{\varepsilon'})$$

we obtain

$$Q(x) = 1/(2\pi i) U(x) \left(\int_{\gamma_{\varepsilon}} (\Lambda(x) - zI)^{-1} dz \right) U^{-1}(x)$$

$$= U(x) T_r U^{-1}(x) \quad (5)$$

$$T_r = \text{diag}\{\delta_{1r}, \dots, \delta_{lr}\}$$

From this equality, it is easy to see that the image of the operator $Q(x): C^l \rightarrow C^l$ is 1-dimensional i.e. from $T_r = \text{diag}\{\delta_{1r}, \dots, \delta_{lr}\}$ it follows that the linear set $\alpha(x) = \{q(x)h : h \in C^l, x \in W_{\varepsilon'}\}$ is one dimensional and contains the eigenvectors $y_r(x)$, $(x \in W_{\varepsilon'})$. Since the matrix $Q(x)$ acts by the formula

$$Q(x)h = \langle h, \phi_r(x) \rangle_{C^l} y_r(x), \quad (h \in C^l, x \in W_{\varepsilon'}), (6)$$

where $\phi_r(x) \in C^l, t \in W_{\varepsilon'}$.

Setting $h = a(x)h_1, (h_1 \in C^l)$ and keeping in mind the equalities

$$Q(x)a(x)h_1 = a(x)Q(x)h_1$$

$$= \langle a(x)h_1, \phi_r(x) \rangle_{C^l} y_r(x)$$

$$= \langle h_1, a(x)S^* \phi_r(x) \rangle_{C^l} y_r(x)$$

$$= \mu_r(x) \langle h, \phi_r(x) \rangle_{C^l} y_r(x),$$

for arbitrary $h_1 \in C^l$, we obtain

$$a(x)^* \phi_r(x) = \overline{\mu_r(x)} \phi_r(x).$$

According to (4), $\text{tr } Q(x) = \text{tr } T_r = 1$. Hence

$$\langle y_r(x), \phi_r(x) \rangle_{C^l} = 1. \text{ Using (6) it is easy to}$$

obtain the entries of the matrix $Q(x)$:

$$(Q(x))_{ij} = y_{ir}(x) \overline{\phi_{jr}(x)},$$

where $\phi_{jr}(x) (j = 1, \dots, l)$ are the entries of the vector $\phi_r(x)$:

$$\phi_r(x) = (\phi_{1r}(x), \dots, \phi_{lr}(x)).$$

From here and from (2) it follows that

$$y_{ir}(x) \overline{\phi_{jr}(x)} \in C^n(W_{\varepsilon'}) \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, l). (7).$$

Replacing ε' , if necessary, by a smaller number we may obtain an index $w \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, such that

$\phi_{wr}(x) \neq 0 (t \in W_{\varepsilon'})$. Next, replacing $y_r(x), \phi_r(x)$ if necessary, with

$$\phi_{wr}(x)^{-1} y_r(x), \phi_{wr}(x)^{-1} \phi_r(x)$$

respectively, we may assume, without loss of generality that $\phi_{wr}(x) = 1, (x \in W_{\varepsilon'})$. Substituting $j = w$ in (2.6) we have

$$y_{ir}(x) \in C^n(W_{\varepsilon'}) \quad (i = 1, \dots, l).$$

According to (3.3) we have

$$U^{-1}(x) \in C^n(W_{\epsilon}; \text{End } C^l). \text{ Let}$$

$F_1, F_2 \subset \bar{\Omega}$ be two closed balls,

$$F_j = \bar{\Omega} \cap F_j, (j=1,2), \lambda(F_j) > 0$$

($\lambda = \text{Lebesgue measure}$). As above construct the matrix functions

$$U_j(x) \in C^n(F_j; \text{End } C^l) \quad (j=1,2)$$

such that

$$U_j^{-1}(x) \in C^n(F_j; \text{End } C^l) \quad (j=1,2)$$

$$a(x) = U_j(x)\Lambda(x)U_j^{-1}(x) \quad (x \in F_j, j=1,2)$$

We will construct a matrix function

$$U(x) \in C^n(F_1 \cup F_2; \text{End } C^l)$$

such that

$$U^{-1}(x) \in C^n(F_1 \cup F_2; \text{End } C^l)$$

$$a(x) = U(x)\Lambda(x)U^{-1}(x) \quad (x \in F_1 \cup F_2)$$

The columns of the matrices

$$U_1(x), U_2(x) \quad (x \in F)$$

consist of the eigenvectors of the matrix $a(x)$ and hence are collinear. Consequently,

$$U_1(x) = U_2(x)\Omega(x), \quad \Omega(x) = \text{diag}\{\Omega_1(x), \dots, \Omega_l(x)\},$$

where $\omega_j(x), \omega_j^{-1}(x) \in C^n(F_1 \cap F_2) \quad (j=1, \dots, l)$.

We extend the function $\omega_j(x) \quad (x \in F_1 \cap F_2), \quad (j=1, \dots, l)$ to the function $\tilde{\omega}_j(x) \in C^n(F_2)$ such that

$\tilde{\omega}_j^{-1}(x) \in C^n(F_2) \quad (j=1, \dots, l)$. Put;

$$\tilde{\Omega}(x) = \text{diag}\{\tilde{\omega}_1(x), \dots, \tilde{\omega}_l(x)\} \quad (x \in F_2).$$

It is easy to see that the matrix function;

$$U(x) = \begin{cases} U_1(x) & \text{if } x \in F_1 \\ U_2(x)\tilde{\Omega}(x) & \text{if } x \in F_2 \end{cases}$$

satisfies the above stated conditions. The proof of the Lemma is finished by applying the sewing method.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. C. Gokhberg and M. G. Krein, Introduction to the Theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators in Hilbert space, English transl. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I. 1969.

- [2] T. Kato, Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators, Springer, New York, 1966.

- [3] M.A. Naimark. Linear differential operators, Moscow. Nauka, 1969.