

ISSN: 2277-3754 International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT) Volume 1, Issue 4, April 2012

Cost Based Performance Evaluation of $H_2/D/1$ and $E_2/D/1$ Traffic Model

Jesmin Akhter, Md. Imdadul Islam, Himadri Saha, M. R. Amin

Abstract—Wireless Cost based performance of a network is very much essential for a network planner prior implementation of a network. In this paper we propose a cost based analysis of $H_2/D/1$ and $E_2/D/1$ traffic models based on the concept of a previous analysis of M/G/1 model. Here, the arrival rate of packets follows hyper-exponential and Erlangian distribution and the service time of both traffic are deterministic. It has been found that distinct minima of the cost profile of $H_2/D/1$ but that of $E_2/D/1$ is almost hyperbolic which gives the opportunity to a network planner to select exact traffic parameters to attain the service of minimum cost.

Index Terms—LST, Mean Waiting Time, SCV, Probability of Immediate Service, Delay, Functional Equation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Non-Markovian traffic model of deterministic service time is essential for analysis of packet traffic of fixed sized cell especially for ATM networks. Three basic parameters: probability density function (pdf) of call/packet arrival rate, pdf of length of service time and size of buffer of a router are expressed in generalized notation A/B/C/D as explained in [1]-[3]. For example: Recently, M/G/1/K traffic model is widely accepted to evaluate performance of packet traffic. In standard M/G/1/K system the arrival process follows Poisson rule with λ (packets per unit time), the service time is identically distributed random variable which follows general distribution. In this model only one server is available and the length of the queue or the size of buffer is k-1, i.e. the maximum k-1 users can be placed in the waiting position. Statistical analysis of M/G/1 system is shown in [4] and [5]. A similar analysis is also shown in context of network traffic in [6], where the authors presented the analytical model of M/D/1/m traffic to evaluate the performance of connection oriented and connectionless ATM traffic taking deterministic service time. In [7], the authors relate the total cost of a call with the number of high usage circuit to get optimum number of high usage links in a network of alternate routing provision. The paper only considers the rent of high usage link and tandem exchange link. Like [8], in this paper, four parameters: cost of losing a customer, customer delay, size of buffer and processor speed are related with the probability states to get the optimum cost in routing a call. In [9] and [10], authors evaluate non-Markovian traffic parameters of M/D/1(m) system suitable for ATM network. In [11], the author deals with non-Markovian arrival process to determine the stationary state distribution, where two traffic models

 $Hn/G/\infty$ and $En/G/\infty$ are considered. The paper shows that the performance of $En/G/\infty$ is better than that of $Hn/G/\infty$. In [12], processing time of a manufacturing system is taken as a random variable to determine the mean waiting time in a GI/D/1 queue. The paper also gives the complete analytical method of determination of mean waiting time of M/D/1 system using DTMC where the authors consider that a queue experiences failure when a job arrival process is Poissonian. In [13], a cost model of internet traffic is proposed where the cost of a network elements are calculated and distributed over different services/users taking the quality of service (QoS) as a parameter. The cost model is named as Total Element Long Run Incremental Cost (TELRIC) and authors calculate the total cost as the sum of fixed cost of network element and cost per BW×BW provided by the network. The paper compares unit cost against BW for M/M/1 and G/G/1 traffic models. In this paper our aim is to determine the traffic parameters (functional equation, mean waiting time, probability of delay and immediate service) analytically then bring them under cost based approach of [3] and [14] to achieve optimum cost condition of the network. The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section II provides the spectral solution of the GI/G/1 traffic in generalized form, Sec. III deals with the derivation of the traffic parameters of $H_2/D/1$ and $E_2/D/1$ systems along with the cost analysis of the network while Sec. IV provides the results according to the proposal and analysis of the previous part and finally Sec. V concludes the entire analysis.

II. SPECTRAL SOLUTION OF GI/G/1

The timing diagram of GI/G/1 traffic model is shown in Fig. 1. The arrival instants of n and (n+1)-th cells are C_n and C_{n+1} where the corresponding interarrival time is t_{n+1} . The waiting time and service time of the *n*-th cell are W_n and X_n respectively. The traffic parameters of GI/G/1 model are the followings: A(t) is the probability density function (pdf) for interarrival times between customers, B(x) is the pdf of service time for the customers (independent), Service discipline: first come first service (FCFS), C_n is the *n*-th arriving customer, $t_n = T_n - T_{n-1}$ is the interarrival time between C_n and C_{n-1} ; here T_n and T_{n-1} are the arrival instants of the *n*-th and (n-1)-th calls, x_n is the service time of C_n , w_n is the waiting time (in queue) for C_n . The interarrival time $\{t_n\}$ and the service time $\{x_n\}$ are two random variables; where they are described by the pdfs A(t) and B(x) independent of index *n*. The waiting times for (n+1)-th call is the difference between the arrival instant of C_{n+1} and the instant of start of its service is expressed as [15]:



International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT)

Volume 1, Issue 4, April 2012

$$W_{n+1} = \begin{cases} W_n + X_n - t_{n+1} & \text{if } W_n + X_n - t_{n+1} \ge 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } W_n + X_n - t_{n+1} < 0. \end{cases}$$

(1)

Let us define a random variable:

$$u_n = x_n - t_{n+1}.\tag{2}$$

According to [15],
$$C(y - w) = 0$$
 as $w \to \infty$, similarly $w(0^{-}) = 0$.
Therefore, we have

$$W(y) = \begin{cases} -\int_{0}^{\infty} W(w) dC(y-w); & y \ge 0\\ 0^{-} & y < 0 \end{cases}$$
(9)



Fig. 1 Interarrival and Service Time of GI/G/1 Traffic

From Eqs. (1) and (2), we have

$$W_{n+1} = \begin{cases} W_n + U_n & \text{if } W_n + U_n \ge 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } W_n + U_n < 0. \end{cases}$$
(3)

The probability $C_n(u)$ is defined as

$$C_{n}(u) = P[x_{n} - t_{n+1} \le u]$$

= $\int_{t=0}^{\infty} P[x_{n} \le u + t | t_{n+1} = t] dA(t)$ (4)
= $\int_{t=0}^{\infty} B(u + tdB(t)) = C(u).$

We have

$$\begin{split} W_{n+1}(y) &= P\big[w_n + u_n \leq y\big] \\ &= \int_{w=0^-}^{\infty} P[w_n \leq y - w \mid w_n = w] \, dW_n(w). \end{split}$$

(5)

Here u_n is independent of w_n , therefore, Eq. (5) becomes

$$W_{n+1}(y) = \int_{w=0^{-}}^{\infty} C_n(y-w) \, dW_n(w); \quad y \ge 0.$$
 (6)

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, Eq. (6) becomes

$$W(y) = \int_{w=0^{-}}^{\infty} C(y-w) \, dW(w); \quad y \ge 0, \tag{7}$$

Where we have dropped the subscripts. Equation (7) above is known as Lindley's integral equation [16], where W(y) = 0 for y < 0. Let us perform integration by parts in Eq. (7), we obtain

$$W(y) = C(y - w)W(w)\Big|_{w=0^{-}}^{\infty} - \int_{0^{-}}^{\infty} W(w)dC(y - w)$$

= $Lt_{w\to\infty}C(y - w)W(w)$ (8)
 $-C(y)W(0^{-}) - \int_{0^{-}}^{\infty} W(w)dC(y - w).$

Changing the variable u = y - w, we get another form of Eq. (8):

$$W(y) = \begin{cases} \int_{u=-\infty}^{\infty} W(w) dC(y-w); & y \ge 0, \\ u=-\infty & y < 0. \end{cases}$$
(10)

The 'complementary' waiting time of W(y) is

$$W_{-}(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & y \ge 0, \\ \int_{u=-\infty}^{\infty} W(y-u)dC(u); & y < 0. \end{cases}$$

(11)

Adding Eqs. (10) and (11), we obtain

$$W(y) + W_{-}(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{y} W(y-u) c(u) du$$
,

for all real y. The Laplace transform (LT) of $W_{(y)}$ is

$$\phi_{-}(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W_{-}(y) e^{-sy} dy = \int_{-\infty}^{0} W_{-}(y) e^{-sy} dy.$$

(13)

Similarly, the LT of waiting time PDF W(y) is

$$\phi_{+}(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W_{-}(y) e^{-sy} dy = \int_{0^{-}}^{\infty} W_{-}(y) e^{-sy} dy.$$

(14)

According to the definitions of $\phi_+(s)$ and $W^*(s)$, we can relate them as

$$s\phi_+(s) = W^*(s)$$

(15) Defining the pdf of *u* as c(u) = dC(u)/du = a(-u)*b(u), we have

$$C^*(s) = A^*(-s)B^*(s)$$
. (16)

.



International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT)

Volume 1, Issue 4, April 2012

Taking the LT of Eq. (12), we have

$$\phi_{+}(s) + \phi_{-}(s) = \phi_{+}(s)C^{*}(s) = \phi_{+}(s)A^{*}(-s)B^{*}(s),$$

(17)

which can be written as

$$\phi_{-}(s) = \phi_{+}(s) \{ A^{*}(-s)B^{*}(s) - 1 \}.$$

(18)

Let us consider $A^*(s)$ and $B^*(s)$ to be rational functions of s, where

$$A^*(-s)B^*(s) - 1 = \frac{\psi_+(s)}{\psi_-(s)}$$
.

(19)

From Eqs. (18) and (19), we have

$$\phi_{-}(s) = \phi_{+}(s) \frac{\psi_{+}(s)}{\psi_{-}(s)}$$

(20)

From the Liouville's theorem, we know that if f(r) is analytic and bounded function for all finite values of r, then f(r) is a constant. Therefore, we can write

$$\phi_{-}(s)\psi_{-}(s) = \phi_{+}(s)\psi_{+}(s) = K$$
,

where K is a constant, we can then write

$$\phi_+(s) = \frac{K}{\psi_+(s)} \, .$$

(21)

To determine *K*, we can use

$$s\phi_+(s) = W^*(s) = \int_{y=0^-}^{\infty} e^{-sy} dW(y),$$

(22)

which implies

$$Lt_{s\to 0}s\phi_+(s) = Lt_{s\to 0}\int_{0^-}^{\infty} e^{-sy}dW(y) = 1 = Lt_{s\to 0}\frac{sK}{\psi_+(s)}$$

and from this, we finally obtain

$$K = Lt_{s \to 0} \frac{s\psi_+(s)}{s}$$

(23)

Example-1

Let us consider the M/M/1 traffic model where, the cumulative distribution function (cdf) of the interarrival time is $A(t) = 1 - e^{-\lambda t}$ and the pdf of interarrival time is $a(t) = \lambda e^{-\lambda t}$. The cdf of the service time is, $B(t) = 1 - e^{-\mu t}$ and the corresponding pdf is $b(t) = \mu e^{-\mu t}$. Taking LT, we get $A^*(s) = \lambda/(s+\lambda)$ and $B^*(s) = \mu/(s+\mu)$. Now, $A^*(-s)B^*(s) - 1 = \frac{\psi_+(s)}{\psi_-(s)} = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - s}\right)\left(\frac{\mu}{s + \mu}\right) - 1$ $= \frac{s^2 + s(\mu - \lambda)}{(\lambda - s)(s + \mu)}.$ The pole-zero diagram of $\frac{\psi_+(s)}{\psi_-(s)}$ is given in Fig. 2.

Here $\psi_+(s)$ must be analytic and zero-free for Re(s) > 0, therefore, collecting the two zeros and one pole on the left half plane for $\psi_+(s)$, we have

$$\psi_+(s) = \frac{s(s+\mu-\lambda)}{s+u}$$

 $\psi_{-}(s) = \lambda - s$.

and

Therefore,

$$K = Lt_{s \to 0} \frac{\psi_+(s)}{s} = Lt_{s \to 0} \frac{(s+\mu-\lambda)}{s+u} = 1 - \lambda / \mu = 1 - \rho,$$

and

$$\phi_+(s) = \frac{(1-\rho)(s+\mu)}{s(s+\mu-\lambda)}.$$



Fig. 2 Pole-zero diagram of $\psi_+(s)/\psi_-(s)$.

Again, $W^*(s) = s\phi_+(s)$, therefore, inverting $W^*(s)$, we obtain

$$W(y) = 1 - \rho e^{\mu(1-\rho)y}; y \ge 0.$$

Example-2

Let us consider the D/M/1 traffic model. The cdf, pdf and LT of the interarrival time are

$$A(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < T \\ 1 & t \ge Y \end{cases},$$

 $a(t) = \delta(t - T)$ and $A^*(s) = e^{-sT}$ respectively.

The cdf, pdf and LT of the service time are $B(t) = 1 - e^{-\mu t}$,

 $b(t) = \mu e^{-\mu t}$ and $B^*(s) = \mu/(s+\mu)$ respectively. Therefore,

$$A^{*}(-s)B^{*}(s) - 1 = \frac{\psi_{+}(s)}{\psi_{-}(s)} = \left(e^{sT}\mu/s + \mu\right) - 1.$$

Now,

$$C(u) = \int_{t=0}^{\infty} B(u+t) dA(t)$$

=
$$\int_{t=0}^{\infty} B(u+t) a(t) dt$$

=
$$\int_{t=0}^{\infty} B(u+t) \delta(t-T) dt = B(u+T)$$



International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT)

Volume 1, Issue 4, April 2012

Where $u \ge -T$. Therefore,

$$c(u) = b(u+T); u \ge -T.$$

Again,

$$D(y) = \int_{\infty}^{y} D(y-u) dC(u) = \int_{\infty}^{y} D(y-u)c(u) du,$$

Which implies

$$D(y-T) = \int_{-\infty}^{y-T} D((y-T)-u)c(u) du$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{y-T} D(y-T-u)b(u+T) du$$
$$= \int_{0}^{y} D(y-u)b(u) du.$$

Considering, $D(y) = 1 + l_1 e^{l_2 y}$, a possible solution of the above equation implies

$$I + l_1 e^{l_2(y-T)} = \int_0^y \left\{ 1 + l_1 e^{l_2(y-u)} \right\} \mu e^{-\mu u} du$$
$$= \int_0^y \mu e^{-\mu u} du + l_1 \mu e^{l_2 y} \int_0^y e^{-u(l_2 + \mu)} du.$$

Therefore,

$$1 + l_1 e^{l_2(y-T)} = 1 - e^{-\mu y} - \frac{l_1 \mu e^{-\mu y}}{l_2 + \mu} + \frac{l_1 \mu e^{l_2 y}}{l_2 + \mu} \,.$$

Taking the co-efficient of $e^{l_2 y}$, we have

$$l_1 e^{-l_2 T} = \frac{l_1 \mu_1}{l_2 + \mu} \implies \frac{\mu_1}{l_2 + \mu} = e^{-l_2 T}$$

Similarly, taking the co-efficient of $e^{-\mu y}$, we have

$$0 = -1 + \frac{l_1 \mu}{l_2 + \mu} = 0 \implies \frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{l_1}{l_2 + \mu} = 0$$

Since $D(0) = 1 + l_1$ and using the above relations, we get 1- $D(0)=e^{-\mu D(0)T}$; which has a zero and a nonzero root. Let ρ be the nonzero root of the above equation. Then the pdf of the delay is

$$D(y) = 1 - (1 - \rho)e^{\mu\rho y}; y \ge 0.$$

Example-3

Let us consider the case of a voice traffic (variable bit rate voice source) where the interarrival time follows the hyper-exponential pdf and the service time follows the Erlangian distribution. The Kendal's notation of the traffic becomes H2/E2/1.

 $A(t) = 1 - p_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t} - p_2 e^{-\lambda_2 t}$

 $a(t) = \lambda_1 p_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t} + \lambda_2 p_2 e^{-\lambda_2 t},$

The cdf, pdf and LT of the interarrival time are:

and

$$A^*(s) = \frac{p_1 \lambda_1}{s + \lambda_1} + \frac{p_2 \lambda_2}{s + \lambda_2}$$

Respectively.

Similarly, the cdf, pdf and LT of the service time are:

$$B(t) = 1 - (1 + 2\mu t)e^{-2\mu t},$$

$$b(t) = 2\mu (2\mu t)e^{-2\mu t},$$

and

$$B^{*}(s) = \frac{(2\mu)^{2}}{(s+2\mu)^{2}}$$

Respectively. Thus,

$$A^{*}(-s)B^{*}(s) - 1 = \frac{\psi_{+}(s)}{\psi_{-}(s)} = \left(\frac{p_{1}\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{1} - s} + \frac{p_{2}\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{2} - s}\right)\frac{(2\mu)^{2}}{(2\mu + s)^{2}} - 1$$

From which, we obtain

P(

V.

$$\frac{\psi_+(s)}{\psi_-(s)} = \frac{sP(s)}{\left(\lambda_1 - s\right)\left(\lambda_2 - s\right)\left(2\mu + s\right)^2},$$

Where

$$s) = s^{3} + \{4\mu - (\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2})\}s^{2} + \{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2} - 4\mu(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2}) + 4\mu^{2}\}s + 4\mu\{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2} - \mu(p_{2}\lambda_{1} + p_{1}\lambda_{2})\}$$

We have found three roots (one positive and two negative) of P(s), and these are: $\lambda_2 < \rho_1 < \lambda_1$, $-2\mu < -\rho_2 < 0$ and $-\rho_3$. Finally,

$$\psi_{+}(s) = \frac{s(s+\rho_{2})(s+\rho_{3})}{(2\mu+s)^{2}},$$

$$\psi_{-}(s) = \frac{-(\lambda_{1}-s)(\lambda_{2}-s)}{(s-\rho_{1})},$$

$$\phi_{+}(s) = \left(\frac{\rho_{2}\rho_{3}}{4\mu^{2}}\right) \frac{(2\mu+s)^{2}}{s(s+\rho_{2})(s+\rho_{3})}$$

and the pdf of the delay is

$$D(y) = 1 + \left(\frac{\rho_3}{4\mu^2}\right) \frac{(2\mu - \rho_2)^2}{(\rho_2 - \rho_3)} e^{-\rho_2 y} - \left(\frac{\rho_2}{4\mu^2}\right) \frac{(2\mu - \rho_3)^2}{(\rho_2 - \rho_3)} e^{-\rho_3 y}; y \ge 0.$$

III. THE TRAFFIC MODEL

The most convenient way of deriving the parameters of $H_2/D/1$ or $E_2/D/1$ traffic is the spectral solution technique of general independent (GI) arrival traffic model of the previous section. Let us consider the n-th call of GI/G/1 system where the service and waiting times are X_n and W_n respectively. If the interarrival time between the n-th and (n+1)-th calls is Y_{n+1} then, $W_{n+1} = \max(W_n + X_n - Y_{n+1}, 0)$. At steady state condition, i.e as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $W_n \rightarrow W_n$, $(X_n - Y_{n+1}) \rightarrow U$, then W = $\max(0, W + U).$

Let u(t) and w(t) be the density functions of U and W respectively, then we have [17]:

$$W(t) + W_{-}(t) = \int_{-t}^{t} W(t - v)u(v)dv \; ; \; -\infty < t < \infty.$$
(24)



International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT)

Volume 1, Issue 4, April 2012

Since U = X - Y, the Laplace-Steieltjes transform (LST) of Uis: $u(\theta) = a^*(\theta)b^*(-\theta)$; when $a^*(\theta)$ and $b^*(\theta)$ are the LST of X and Y respectively. Taking LT of Eq. (24), we have $W^*(\theta) + W^*_-(\theta) = u^*(\theta)W^*(\theta)$,

From which we obtain

$$W^{*}(\theta)\left\{a^{*}(\theta)b^{*}(-\theta)-1\right\}=W_{-}^{*}(\theta).$$
⁽²⁵⁾

It is to be mentioned here that $a^{*}(\theta)b^{*}(-\theta)-1$ has a special factorization of the form [18]:

$$a^{*}(\theta)b^{*}(-\theta) - 1 = \frac{\psi_{+}^{*}(\theta)}{\psi_{-}(\theta)}, \qquad (26)$$

Where the conditions of $\psi(\theta)$ are given in [14]. Using the above relations and LST of W(t), we get the probability of immediate service as

$$W(0^+) = Lt \frac{\psi_+(\theta)}{\theta},$$

and the mean waiting time

$$W = Lt_{\theta \to 0} \frac{d\{\theta W^*(\theta)\}}{d\theta}.$$

In $H_2/D/1$ traffic model, the interarrival time follows the pdf of the Hyper-exponential distribution, whose LST is:

$$a^{*}(\theta) = \frac{k\lambda_{1}}{\theta + \lambda_{1}} + \frac{(1-k)\lambda_{2}}{\theta + \lambda_{2}}.$$
(27)

The service time is deterministic whose LST is:

$$b^*(\theta) = e^{-h\theta} \,. \tag{28}$$

To determine the mean waiting time, we need the roots of the following functional equation [8]:

$$y(\theta)b^{*}(\theta) - (\lambda_{1} - \theta)(\lambda_{2} - \theta) = 0, \qquad (29)$$

where

$$y(\theta) = k\lambda_1(\lambda_2 - \theta) + (1 - k)\lambda_2(\lambda_1 - \theta).$$
 (30)

The characteristics equation is derived by taking $\psi_+(0)$ and $\psi_-(0)$ of Eq. (26) for H₂/G/1 traffic.

The mean waiting time of $H_2/G/1$ is expressed as

$$W = W_M + \frac{[k\lambda_1 + (1-k)\lambda_2]\rho - \lambda}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 (1-\rho)} + \frac{1}{\theta_0},$$
 (31)

where W_M is the mean waiting time of the equivalent M/G/1 model (with the same arrival rate) and is given by

$$W_M = \frac{\rho(1+C_s^2)h}{2(1-\rho)},$$
(32)

Where C_s^2 is the squared coefficient of variation (SCV) and is expressed as $C_s^2 = \sigma^2 / m^2$.

The probability of immediate service P_I is given by the following expression:

$$P_I = (1 - \rho) \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\lambda \theta_0} \,. \tag{33}$$

The probability of delay is then

$$P_D = 1 - P_I . \tag{34}$$

In particular, if only λ and C_a^2 (called the squared coefficient of variance (SCV)), are given, and setting the symmetric condition, we have

$$\frac{k}{\lambda_1} = \frac{1-k}{\lambda_2},$$

where

$$k = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{2}{1 + C_a^2}} \right), \ \lambda_1 = 2k\lambda \ , \ \lambda_2 = 2(1 - k)\lambda \ . \tag{35}$$

In $E_2/D/1$ traffic model, the interarrival time follows the pdf of the Erlangian distribution, whose LST is

$$a^*(\theta) = \left(\frac{k\lambda}{\theta + k\lambda}\right)^k.$$
 (36)

To determine the mean waiting time, we need the roots of the following functional equation:

$$(k\lambda)^{k}b^{*}(\theta) - (k\lambda - \theta)^{k} = 0.$$
(37)

The mean waiting time of $E_2/G/1$ is expressed as:

$$W = W_M - \frac{1 - C_a^2}{2\rho(1 - \rho)}h + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\theta_i}.$$
 (38)

The probability of immediate service P_I can be written as

$$P_I = \frac{(k\lambda)^k (1-\rho)}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\theta_i} \,. \tag{39}$$

Probability of delay is then given by Eq. (34).

The cost parameters used in this paper are the following [1, 8]:

- B = Waiting cost for one customer,
- W = Mean waiting time,

 P_I = Probability of immediate service,

 P_D = Probability of delay,

- C / \overline{x}^{c} = Cost of buffer of sufficient size to hold one Customer,
- $D/\bar{x}^c = \text{Cost of a processor which can process}$ Customers at rate $1/\bar{x}$,
- \overline{x} = Mean service time.

Now, we find the total cost of operating the system per unit time. Since the proposed traffic model processes infinite queue hence cost due to lost of customer of [8] is excluded here in evaluating the total cost of operating system per unit time. The expression of cost Ξ is

$$\Xi(C, D, \overline{x}) = BW + P_D \frac{C}{\overline{x}^c} + P_I \frac{D}{\overline{x}^d}.$$
 (40)

Let us assume that speed of the buffer memory matches with that of the processor, then selecting for c = 1. Let us select d = 2 like [8], therefore, the expression of the cost takes the following form:

$$\Xi(C, D, \overline{x}) = BW + P_D \frac{C}{\overline{x}} + P_I \frac{D}{\overline{x}^2}.$$
 (41)



International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT)

Volume 1, Issue 4, April 2012

IV. RESULTS

The objective of this research work is to evaluate the relationship between the size of buffer and the mean service time (\bar{x}) that minimizes the cost for the four different service time distribution cases. The parameters that we used here are: buffer size varies from 1 to 60; call arrival rate, $\lambda =$ 0.93 cells/ms; call termination rate, $\mu = 1$ cells/ms; cell length, $L_c = 53$ bytes for ATM transmission; transmission speed, c = 150 Mbps; cost of losing one customer, A=4Mu; waiting cost for one customer, B = 0.03MU; cost of buffer of sufficient size to hold one customer, C = 0.02MU; cost of a processor which can process customers at a rate $1/\bar{x}$, D =0.07MU; call termination rate-1, $\mu_1 = 1$ per unit time; and call termination rate-2, $\mu_2 = 1.2$ per unit time. Let us first determine the roots of the functional equation of $H_2/D/1$ graphically. The roots are found varying h from zero crossing points of Fig. 3 as shown in Table 1.

After getting the roots θ_0 of both traffic, the mean waiting time *W* in seconds is evaluated for all *h* and plotted in Fig. 5. The mean delay in H₂/D/1 is found larger than that of E₂/D/1. The variation of *W* is wider at higher value of *h*, especially for $h \ge 0.7$ TU. Let us now observe the profile of the probability of immediate service and delay against variation of packet length.





The variations of P_I and P_D are shown in Fig. 6. It is observed that P_I decreases with the increase in h but the situation is reverse for P_D . Probability of immediate service of $E_2/G/1$ is found much larger than that of $H_2/D/1$ case. The rate of decrement of P_I of $H_2/D/1$ is more prominent than that of $E_2/D/1$ traffic for $h \le 0.6$ TU and beyond that point both curves become almost parallel. Again probability of delay of $H_2/D/1$ is greater than that of $E_2/D/1$ case. The slope of P_D of $H_2/D/1$ case is found greater than that of $E_2/D/1$ case for $h \le$ 0.6 TU, but the curves become parallel for h>0.6TU. Finally, the costs of two traffic models are compared in Fig. 7. The cost of $E_2/D/1$ is found much greater than that of $H_2/D/1$,

where $h \le 0.5$ TU. Beyond that point costs are very close to each other but for $h \ge 1.4$ cost of H₂/D/1 is much higher than that of E₂/D/1. The two curves intersect at h = 1.1 TU. The cost profile of H₂/D/1 has distinct minima whereas E₂/D/1 shows the profile of hyperbola as is visualized from Fig. 7.

 Table 1: Roots of Functional Equation

θ_0	0.1	0.10 5	0.11 2	0.1 2	0.134	0.1 5	0.17 3	0.2 2	0.2 6
Н	0.2	0.30 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0.40\\ 0\end{array}$	0.5 0	0.600	0.7 0	0.80 0	0.9 0	1.0 0

Table 2: Roots of Functional Equation



Fig. 4 Profile of Functional Equation of $E_2/D/1$.



Fig. 5 Comparison of Mean Delay between H₂/D/1 and E₂/D/1.



International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT)

Volume 1, Issue 4, April 2012



Fig. 6 Comparison of P_I and P_D of $H_2/D/1$ and $E_2/D/1$.



Fig. 7 Comparison of Cost of H₂/D/1 and E₂/D/1.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have derived traffic cost of $H_2/D/1$ and $E_2/D/1$ traffic models which match with voice traffic of variable bit rate with fixed length of packet. Such traffic model is applicable in voice communication through ATM link. Our finding is that $H_2/D/1$ traffic has an optimum length of packet to achieve minimum traffic cost but $E_2/D/1$ does not have any optimum point. However, our analysis can suggest the minimum length of the packet beyond which traffic will maintain its minimum cost. The entire work can be extended for $E_2/G/1$ and $H_2/G/1$ of variable length packet (using the spectral solution technique of Sec. II) to observe the performance of voice over IP (VoIP) traffic.

REFERENCES

- Imdadul Islam, "Performance Evaluation of Voice Data Integrated Service in Mobile Cellular network," Ph.D. Thesis, Sept. 2010, Dept. of CSE, Jahangirnagar University, Dhaka.
- [2] M. I. Islam and L. Jude Rozario, "Telecommunications Traffic and Network Planning," Christian Communications Centre Pratibeshi Prokashani, Dhaka, 2008.
- [3] Md. Imdadul Islam and Jesmin Akhter, "Cost based performance valuation of M/G/1/K Traffic model", Personal communications.

- [4] T Veerarajan, "Probability, Statistics and Random Process," ISBN-0-07-049482-7, chap-9, pp.468-516, Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company Limited, 2003.
- [5] Sheldon M. Ross, "Introduction to Probability Models," 7th Edition, ISBN-81-7867-055-0, pp.216-225, Academic Press, A Harcourt Science and Technology Company, San Diego, USA, 2001.
- [6] E.B Çil, Fikri Karaesmen and E. Lerzan Örmeci, "Dynamic pricing and scheduling in a multi-class single-server queuing system," Queuing Systems, Springer link, vol. 67(4), pp. 305-331, April 2011.
- [7] Md. Imdadul Islam, M. F. K. Patwary and M.R. Amin, "Cost Optimization of Alternate Routing Network of M/G/1(M) Traffic," The Mediterranean Journal of Electronics and Communications, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 190-195, 2011.
- [8] Derek Doran, Lester Lipsky and Steve Thompson "Cost-based Optimization of Buffer Size in M/G/1/N Systems under Different Service-time Distributions," pp.28-35, Ninth IEEE International Symposium on Network Computing and Applications, 2010.
- [9] H. Akimaru, M. R. Finley and K. Yamori, "A practical dimensioning method of ATM systems," IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 311-315, Feb. 1999.
- [10] Douglas G. Down, Ger Koole and Mark E. Lewis, "Dynamic control of a single-server system with abandonment," Queuing Systems, Springer link, vol. 67(1), pp. 63-90, January 2011.
- [11] F. Machihara, "Sensitivity to Service Times in Infinite Server Systems," Journal of the Operations Research Society of Japan, vol. 53, pp. 1-19, March 2010.
- [12] B. Balcioglu, D. L. Jagerman and T Altiok, "Approximate mean waiting time in GI/D/1 queue with auto correlated times to failures", IIE Transaction, vol. 39, pp. 985-996, 2007.
- [13] A. E. Garcia, L. Rodriguez de Lope, K. D. Hackbut, "Application of cost models over traffic dimensioning with QoS restrictions", Ann Telecomm. vol. 65, pp. 135-146, 2010.
- [14] Haruo Akimaru and Konosuke Kawashima, "Teletraffic Theory and Applications," Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [15] John C.S. Lui, "G/G/1 Queuing Systems" Lecture note, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, www.cse.cuhk.edu.hk/_cslui.
- [16] Enzo Baccarelli, Nicola Cordeschi, and Mauro Biagi, "Conditionally Optimal Minimum-Delay Scheduling for Bursty Traffic Over Fading Channels," IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol., vol. 59, no. 7, pp. 3294-3310, September 2010.
- [17] Gerhard Hasslinger, "Quality-of-Service Analysis for Statistical Multiplexing with Gaussian Distributed and Autoregressive Input," Telecommunication Systems vol.16, pp. 315–334, Kluwer Academic Publishers. Manufactured in Netherlands, 2001.
- [18] Theodore Antonakopoulos, Eustathia Ziouva, and Vassilios Makios, "On Mapping Stochastic Processes Into Hardware and its Application on ATM Traffic Emulation," IEEE Trans. Instrumentation and Measurement, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 771-779, June 2003.



International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT) Volume 1, Issue 4, April 2012

AUTHOR BIOGRAPHY



Jesmin Akhter received her B.Sc. Engineering degree in Computer Science and Engineering from Jahangirnagar University, Savar, Dhaka, Bangladesh in 2004. Since 2008, she is a faculty member having current Designation *"lecturer"* at the Institute of Information Technology in Jahangirnagar University, Savar, Dhaka, Bangladesh. Currently she is pursuing M.Sc. Engineering in the department of Computer Science and Engineering, Jahangirnagar University, Savar, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

Her research areas are on network traffic, complexity and algorithms and software engineering.



Md. Imdadul Islam has completed his B.Sc. and M.Sc Engineering in Electrical and Electronic Engineering from Bangladesh University of Engineering and Technology, Dhaka, Bangladesh in 1993 and 1998 respectively and has completed his Ph.D degree from the Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Jahangirnagar University, Dhaka, Bangladesh in the field of network traffic engineering in 2010. He is now working as a

Professor at the Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Jahangirnagar University, Savar, Dhaka, Bangladesh. Previously, he worked as an Assistant Engineer in Sheba Telecom (Pvt.) LTD (A joint venture company between Bangladesh and Malaysia, for Mobile cellular and WLL), from Sept.1994 to July 1996. He has a very good field experience in installation of Radio Base Stations and Switching Centers for WLL. His research field is network traffic, wireless communications, wavelet transform, OFDMA, WCDMA, adaptive filter theory, ANFIS and array antenna systems. He has more than hundred research papers in national and international journals and conference proceedings.



Himadri Subhro Saha received his B.Sc. degree in Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering from the Kalinga Institute of Industrial Technology, Orissa, India in 2008. He has just completed his MS in Telecommunications Engineering at East West University, Dhaka, Bangladesh



M. R. Amin received his B.S. and M.S. degrees in Physics from Jahangirnagar University, Dhaka, Bangladesh in 1984 and 1986 respectively and his Ph.D. degree in Plasma Physics from the University of St. Andrews, U. K. in 1990. He is a Professor of Electronics and Communications Engineering at East West University, Dhaka, Bangladesh. He served as a Post-Doctoral Research Associate in Electrical Engineering at

the University of Alberta, Canada, during 1991-1993. He was an Alexander von Humboldt Research Fellow at the Max-Planck Institute for Extraterrestrial Physics at Garching/Munich, Germany during 1997-1999. Dr. Amin was awarded the Commonwealth Postdoctoral Fellowship in 1997. Besides these, he has also received several awards for his research, including the Bangladesh Academy of Science Young Scientist Award for the year 1996 and the University Grants Commission Young Scientist Award for 1996. His current research fields are wireless communications and networks. He is a member of the IEEE.