Abstract — Knowledge discovery and data mining is a process of retrieving the meaningful knowledge from the raw data, using different techniques. Therefore, text mining is a sub domain of knowledge discovery from the text data. Web mining is a one class of data mining. Web Mining is a variation of data mining that distills untapped source of abundantly available free textual information. The need and importance of web mining is growing along with the massive volumes of data generated in web day-to-day life. Feature selection is an effective technique for dimension reduction and an essential step in successful data mining applications. It is a research area of great practical significance and has been developed and evolved to answer the challenges due to data of increasingly high dimensionality. In this paper, a hybrid feature selection is proposed. The Relative Reduct and Particle Swarm Optimization Technique are hybridized to reduce the size of the feature space. The hybridized algorithm generates the optimal dataset. Artificial Neural Network with MLP learning algorithm classifies the documents into two categories.

Index Terms — Particle Swarm Optimization, Relative Reduct, Artificial Neural Network, ANFIS.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the modern days of technology text mining studies are advancing into next level due to mounting number of the electronic documents from a mixture of resources. The resources of unstructured and semi structured data includes the World Wide Web, Governmental Electronic Repositories, News Editorials, Genetic Directory, Depositories of Blog, Online Forums, Digital Libraries, Electronic Mail and Chat Rooms. Consequently, the appropriate categorization and knowledge detection from these sources and it marks a major role in the field for investigation.

Natural Language Processing (NLP), Information Mining, and Machine Learning methods work reciprocally in categorizing the determine patterns instinctively from the electronic documents. The primary objective of the text mining is to facilitate clients to extort information from textual resources and compacts with the maneuvers like, repossessing, categorization (supervised, unsupervised and semi supervised) and recapitulation. In contrast, how these documents can be aptly interpreted, presented and classified. In view of that, it consists of numerous challenges, like proper explanation to the documents, with appropriate file demonstration, dimensionality diminution to grip algorithmic concerns [1]. Moreover a suitable classifier jobs are occupied to accomplish good overview and evade over-fitting. Mining, incorporation and categorization of electronic data’s from miscellaneous sources and knowledge discovery have been composed from these documents to channel it for the research societies.

At present, the web is the chief source for the text documents, the quantity of textual data existing to us is constantly mounting, and approximately 80% of the data of an organization is piled up in unstructured textual format [2] like reports, email, views and news etc. The [3] exhibits that just about 90% of the Global data is apprehended in unstructured formats, as a result Information intensive business processes stipulate that we surpass since simple document retrieval to knowledge discovery. The requirement of automatically retrieval of useful knowledge with the colossal amount of textual data is used to facilitate the support of human investigation is fully perceptible [4].

The movement of the market is depended on the information of the online news articles, reactions, and proceedings is turned out to be an budding theme for investigation in the field data mining and text mining [5]. To establish the outcome of modern methods for text classifications are elucidated in [6] which three problems were highlighted: documents demonstration, classifier erection and classifier assessment. Therefore, generating an information structure that can signify the data’s, and creating a classifier that can be utilized to visualize the class label of a document with high accuracy, develops into the major issues in text categorization.

II. RELATED WORK

In the paper, the authors Naw, Naw, and Ei Ei Hlaing [7] used the Episode Rules for the application of finding keywords and key phrases, discovering grammatical rules and collections by using bag of words and word positions. The authors Adeva, JJ García, et a [8] utilizes TFIDF and Naïve Bayes classification algorithm for the text classification using Bag of Words. Cohen [9] in the paper, used Propositional Rule based system and Inductive Logic Programming for the text classification by Relational. The authors Menaka, S and N. Radha [10] in the paper utilize the TFIDF, Decision Trees, Naïve Bayes, Bayes Net and Support Vector Machine for the text categorization by using Bag of Words phrases. Koplenig,

III. RELATIVE REDUCT FEATURE SELECTION TECHNIQUE

In subsequent to characteristic mining, the significant procedure in pre-processing of manuscript classification is attribute selection. It is employed to create vector space, which progress the scalability, competence and exactness of a text classifier. In most cases, a good feature selection method should be considered the domain and algorithm features [17]. The central design of FS is to opt for compartment of features that progress the scalability, competence and exactness of classifiers.

There are generally two kinds of feature selection techniques in machine learning; wrappers and filters. Wrappers utilized in the classification precision of some learning algorithms as their assessment function. Since wrappers have to instruct a classifier for every feature subset to be assessed, they are generally much more time consuming. In particularly, when the number of features is high. Accordingly, wrappers are by and large not suitable for text categorization task, and still the various noise features may stridently diminish the classification accuracy [19]. Therefore, FS is generally deployed in text categorization to shrink the dimensionality of feature space and progress the competence and exactness of classifiers.

The expression (word) regularity/converse document frequency (TF-IDF) technique is generally used to weight every word in the manuscript document according to how characteristic it is. In further expressions, the TF-IDF technique precints the pertinent amongst words, text datas and fuzzy classifications. In Relative Reduct algorithm we find out the degree of relative dependency after removing the attributes from the set. If an attribute is removed and it causes the value of relative dependency to be one then that attribute is eliminated otherwise it is put in the core reduct. The process is repeated again and again till the value becomes one. The algorithm is explained below:

Relative Reduct Algorithm:

Input: Original Dataset, D the set of all conditional features; Y - Conditional attribute from R.

Step 1: D ← {list of all conditional features}
Step 2: Now select the conditional attribute Y from D.
Step 3: Calculate the relative dependency of the feature.
Step 4: If relative dependency of the attribute is one then eliminate the attribute, Go to step 2.
Step 5: Else Add that feature in Re duct set.
Step 6: Stop

Output: Reduct dataset

The relative reduct algorithm endeavors to ascertain a reduct without completely creating every single possible subset. It begins off with a vacant set and includes turn, each one in turn, those features that outcome in the best increment in the rough set dependency metric, until this delivers its most extreme conceivable quality for the dataset.

IV. PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION

Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) [21] is based on the social behavior associated with bird’s flocking for optimization problem. A social behavior pattern of organisms that live and interact within large groups is the inspiration for PSO. The PSO is easier to lay into operation than Genetic Algorithm. It is for the motivation that PSO doesn’t have mutation or crossover operators and movement of particles is effected by using velocity function [22]. In PSO, every particle alters its own flying memory and its partner’s flying inclusion keeping in mind the end goal to flying in the search space with velocity.

The best-fit particle of the entire swarm [23] influences the position of each particle. Each individual particle \( j \in [1 \ldots m] \) where \( m > 1 \), has current position in search space \( s_j \), a current velocity \( u_j \) and a personal best position \( p_{bhj} \) where \( j \) is the smallest value determined by objective function \( o \). By using \( p_{bhj} \) the global best position \( G_b \) is calculated, which is the buck value obtained by comparing all the \( p_{bhj} \).

The \( p_{bhj} \) is calculated by using the formula

\[
p_{bhj} = \begin{cases} p_{bhj} & \text{if } (y_j) > p_{bhj} \\ y_j & \text{if } f(y_j) \leq p_{bhj} \end{cases}
\]
The formula used to calculate Global Best Position $G_{best}$ is

$$G_j = \min\{p_{best}\}, \text{where } j \in [1, \ldots, m] \text{where } m > 0$$

Velocity can be updated by the formula

$$u_j^{t+1} = w_j u_j(t) + s_1 i_1[y_j(t) - y_j(t)] + s_2 i_2[g_j g(t)]$$

where $u_j(t)$ is the velocity and $w$, $s_1$ and $s_2$ are used supplied co-efficient. The $i_1$ and $i_2$ are random values $y_j(t)$ is the individual best solution, $g_j(t)$ is the swarm’s global best candidate solution. $w u_j(t)$ is known as inertia component. Inertia component value lies between 0.8 and 1.2. Lower the values of inertia component, it speeds up the convergence of swarm to optima. But higher value encourages the exploration of entire search space. $s_1 i_1[y_j(t) - y_j(t)]$ is known as cognitive component.

V. PROPOSED HYBRID FEATURE SELECTION ALGORITHM

The existing Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) and Relative Reduct (RR) algorithm are combined to develop the Hybrid Feature Selection Algorithm. The process of producing the optimal data set by the hybrid feature selection algorithm is as follows: At the preliminary level, the initialization of Inertia ($\omega$), Decision Features (DF) and Conditional feature (Cf), Social and Cognitive components ($s_1$ & $s_2$), Swarm Size (SS) and random values ($i_1$ & $i_2$) to be done. The Cognitive and Social ($s_1$ & $s_2$) values are initialized as 2.0. This is because if the value of $s_1$ and $s_2$ is low, it will roam far from the target region. If the value is high, there will be an abrupt movement of particles towards the target. The random values of $i_1$ and $i_2$ lie between 0 and 1. The random values are set to be 0.2. Usually, the inertia value too lies between 0 and 1. If the inertia value is high, the particles will have high exploration capacity. If the inertia value is low, the particles will have high exploitation capacity. The inertia is initialized to 0.33 to have strong exploitation capability. The swarm size is fixed to be 20.

After initializing the swarm size $S$, the data set is set to be a null or empty data set $R \leftarrow \{ \}$. The empty data set $R$ is then stored in $T$. After storing the empty data set in $T$, the conditional features are checked with empty set and initialized that $\forall x \in (C_n - R)$. Then the Data Fitness Value (DFV) is calculated using the Griewangk function. This is because the Griewangk function has widespread local minima. The DFV is compared with best of the particle ($p_b$).

If DFV is better than $P_b$ then the $P_b$ is set with current value of DFV. Then the particle with best fitness value is chosen by comparing $P_b$ which is referred as the Global Best ($G_b$). Then the classification accuracy of the particle is compared with the Decision Feature. If the accuracy of the feature has value greater than the Decision Feature, then the feature is stored in $T$ and passed to empty set $R$. Now, the $R$ has a feature with higher classification accuracy. Then the velocity is calculated and updated by using the equation

$$V_{ad} = V_{ad} + S1 i_1 (p_{ad} - y_{ad}) + S2 i_2 (p_{ad} - y_{ad})$$

This process is repeated until the classification accuracy of the reduct set $R$ based on decision feature $D$ is equal to classification accuracy of conditional feature based on $D$. The final output is the optimal reduct data set. The following fig.1 represents the framework for web document classification using hybrid feature selection algorithm.

![Proposed Hybrid Feature Selection Framework for Web Document Classification](image)

**Fig.1: Proposed Hybrid Feature Selection Framework for Web Document Classification**

The pseudo code for the hybrid Feature Selection Algorithm is as follows:

**Pseudo code: Hybrid Feature Selection Algorithm:**

**Input:** Data set

**Algorithm:**

1. Initialize $C_n$, $D_f$, $S_S$, $s_1$, $s_2$, $i_1$, $i_2$, $\omega$

2. For each particle $i$ in $S$ do
   - $R \leftarrow \{ \}$
   - do
     - $T \leftarrow R$
     - $\forall x \in (C_n - R)$
     - Calculate DFV
     - If DFV > $P_b$
       - Set $P_b$ = DFV
     - If $P_b$ > $G_b$
       - $G_b = P_b$
     - $\gamma(y_{ad} > y_{ad})$
     - $T \leftarrow R \cup (Y)$
     - $R \leftarrow T$
   - Calculate and update velocity by using the equation 1

Until
If $\gamma_{R}(Df) = \gamma_{C}(Df)$
\[\text{Return } R\]
\[\text{Output: Optimal Reduce Data set}\]

VI. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The experimental setup is explained in this section. It wraps up facts in relation to the datasets so as to deploy and dissimilar preprocessing methods that were practiced. The software device and enclosed that are utilized, Hardware and software particulars of the mechanism, lying on the study was performed in.

A. Information of the Datasets

The following table 1 represents the various text classification datasets. The table shows that the text classification datasets are available in the repository [24]. The original datasets are divided into a collection of N binary ones (one for each class label of the original dataset). Each one of these binary datasets consists of 100 attributes. Each data file in the dataset has the following structure:

- @relation - Name of the Dataset
- @attribute - Description of an attribute (one for each attribute)
- @inputs - List with the names of the input attributes
- @output - List with the names of the output attributes
- @data - Starting tag of the data.

Table 1: Various Documents Datasets Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Number of Attributes</th>
<th>Examples</th>
<th>Labels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>blogsGender-100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3232</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH0-100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1003</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH10-100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH15-100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>913</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH5-100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>918</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohscale-100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>11162</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohsumed-100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>13929</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r10-100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>12897</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the table 1, in this paper, last dataset is considered for the research methodology i.e.r10-100 dataset contains 10 files each have 100 attributes. The table 2 gives the description about the r10-100 dataset.

The software and hardware used are as follows: Processor: Intel Core i3 CPU M350 @ 2.27 GHz RAM: 3.00 GB Operating classification: Windows 7 Ultimate. MATLAB R 2016 a.

Table 2: Files and number of attributes in r10-100 Document dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Number of Attributes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>acq</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earn</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Evaluation Criteria

Based on the algorithms of different feature selection, the performance of the categorization of document is evaluated by adopting the evaluation criteria. Here to validate the results of the proposed Hybrid Feature Selection Algorithm, the following parameters like Classification Accuracy, Kappa Statistic, Mean Squared Error (MSE), Mean Absolute Error (MAE), Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), Root Absolute Error (RAE), Root Relative Absolute Error (RRAE), True Positive Rate (TPR), False Positive Rate (FPR), Recall, Precision, Confusion Matrix and ROC (Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve) are considered. The average square difference between the outputs and targets is Mean Squared Error. If zero it means no error whereas lower values are better. The correlation between targets and output is measured by value called Regression R. The random relationship is indicated by 0 whereas close relationship is given by 1. The TPR against FPR is considered to plot the ROC curve. The obtained result is considered as good only when the ROC value areas are nearer to the value of 0.80 to 0.90. The predictive model performance is estimated by using Cross Validation technique. In the 10 fold cross validation, the data sets are divided into 10 sets in which 9 data sets are used for training and 1 is used for testing.

VII. EXPERIMENTAL RESULT AND ANALYSIS

In the first step, the proposed Hybrid Feature Selection algorithm is used to reduce the size of the feature space or number of attributes. Table 3 represents the datasets total number of attributes and the results obtained by proposed Hybrid Feature Selection and existing methods like PSO and Relative Reduce.

Organizing the data into categories is the primary work of classification. In this work, the web document is classified into two categories as significant and no significant. The reduct set obtained by applying proposed Hybrid Feature Selection algorithm is subjected to the classification. The reduced set obtained from the given dataset and the quality of reduct set is determined based on the achieved classification accuracy. To find out the accuracy level, the training and testing of MLP Network is carried out using data set before and after applying Feature Reduction.

Table 3: Feature Reduction by Relative Reduct, Particle Swarm Optimization and Hybrid Method for various datasets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Datasets</th>
<th>Number of Attributes</th>
<th>PSO</th>
<th>Relative Reduct</th>
<th>Hybrid Feature Selection (RR+PSO)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acq</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The Network is constructed with 30 input layers and one output layer, since obtained reduct set contains maximum number of 30 attributes. The 3, 5 and 10 neurons in hidden layers spectate some auspicious consequences than the other number of neurons. Depending on the intricacy of the problems, 10 hidden neurons are determined for constructing the network. Copious algorithms are available to train the neural network model. Bayesian Regulation back propagation algorithm (br), Gradient descent with Polak–Ribiure updates (cgp), Gradient descent back propagation algorithm (gd), Gradient descent with adaptive linear back propagation (gda), Levenberg-Marquardt back propagation algorithm (lm), RPROP back propagation algorithm (rp) and scaled conjugate gradient back propagation algorithm (scg) are considered to train the Network. Two algorithms are chosen based on the regression values, which indicates the accuracy level, obtained by employing these algorithms. The selected algorithm, Bayesian regulation algorithm gives a Regression value of 0.87 in 27 seconds and Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm gives a Regression value of 0.71 in 3 secs. The Levenberg Marquardt Algorithm is chosen, as it achieves higher regression value, for further exploration. The parameters like Performance, Kappa Statistic, Mean Squared Error (MSE), Mean Absolute Error (MAE), Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), Relative Absolute Error (RAE), Root Relative Absolute Error(RRAE), True Positive Rate (TPR), False Positive Rate (FPR), Precision, Recall, Confusion Matrix and Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) Curve are taken for validating the results obtained. Mean Squared Error is the average squared difference between outputs and targets. Lower values are better. Zero means no error. Regression R values measure the correlation between outputs and targets. R value 1 indicates close relationship and 0 indicates random relationship. The ROC Curve is plotted by taking the true positive rate against the false positive rate. The ROC area which is nearer to the value of 0.80 to 0.90 shows the results obtained is good. The architecture chosen for classification is Multilayer Perceptron Network set with 10 hidden neurons and trained using the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm. The learning rate is set as 0.3 and momentum is 0.2. The data set is subjected to 10 fold cross validation. The cross validation technique is used to estimate the performance of a predictive model. In the 10 fold cross validation, the data sets are divided into 10 sets in which 9 data sets are used for training and 1 is used for testing. The values obtained after implementing the algorithms are depicted in Table 4.

### Table 4: Classification Accuracy of the Original Dataset, Relative Reduct, PSO, Hybrid Feature Selection Algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Metrics</th>
<th>Original data set</th>
<th>PSO</th>
<th>RR</th>
<th>Hybrid Feature Selection (RR+PSO)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Correctly classified instance</td>
<td>72.19</td>
<td>79.74</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>82.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kappa statistic</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAE</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAE</td>
<td>67.47</td>
<td>52.57</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>46.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRAE</td>
<td>89.88</td>
<td>87.67</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>77.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPR</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPR</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precision</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROC Area</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is observed from the Table 4 that the increased accuracy level is achieved on the optimal data set obtained by applying Hybrid Feature Selection algorithm. Accuracy level obtained from Hybrid Feature Selection is 82.61% which is higher than the PSO and RR algorithms. Also obtained higher kappa statistic value. While comparing with other measures like MAE, RMSE, RAE, RRAE, TPR, FPR, Precision, Recall and ROC Area, the optimal reduct data set obtained through Hybrid Feature Selection algorithm shows promising results. On the overall comparison, it is evident that the proposed algorithm performs well in Feature reduction without affecting the Classification Accuracy.

### VIII. Statistical Analysis

**Chi Square Analysis:** The chi-square (I) test is used to determine whether there is a significant difference between the expected frequencies and the observed frequencies in one or more categories. The following are the steps taken for calculating the chi-square test.

**Step 1:** The Null and Alternative Hypothesis and Significance is stated.

- $H_0$ – Null Hypothesis (No significant difference by proposed method)
- $H_A$ – Alternative Hypothesis (Significant difference by Proposed Method)

**Step 2:** For the test of hypothesis, the assumptions are stated and checked

A. a random example is taken.
B. Expected frequencies for every cell are more noteworthy than or equivalent to 5 (The expected frequencies, $E$, will be ascertained later, and this suspicion implies $E \geq 5$)


Step 3: Find the test statistic and p value.
The symbol for Chi-Square is \( \chi^2 \)

\[
\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O - E)^2}{E}
\]

where \( O \) - observed frequency and \( E \) is the expected frequency.

**Table 5: Statistical Hypothesis Significance Test for Proposed Hybrid Method and Existing method**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Metrics</th>
<th>Proposed Hybrid Method</th>
<th>Naive Bayes</th>
<th>(O-E)^2 / E</th>
<th>((O-E)^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observed (O)</td>
<td>Expected (E)</td>
<td>(O-E)</td>
<td>39.94</td>
<td>0.759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAE</td>
<td>46.25</td>
<td>52.57</td>
<td>-6.3</td>
<td>39.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRAE</td>
<td>78.64</td>
<td>87.67</td>
<td>-9.0</td>
<td>81.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classificati on</td>
<td>82.61</td>
<td>79.74</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>8.236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The p value is 0.05 for two degree of freedom as the probability of alpha level.

**Step 4: Conclusion**

The rule is: when the value of \( p \) < chi-square value, then reject Null Hypothesis \( (H_0) \). If the \( p \) value > chi-square, then fail to reject \( H_0 \). Here, from the above table 5, the probability is 5 % with the degree of freedom is 2. It is observed that the Chi-Square value is 1.794744, whereas the \( p \) value is 0.05. Since the chi square is greater than \( p \) value (1.794744 > 0.05), therefore the null hypothesis can be rejected. So, the alternative hypothesis can be accepted i.e. the proposed hybrid method gives significant result than the existing Naive Bayes.

**Step 5: Interpretation**

So, the alternative hypothesis can be accepted i.e, the proposed hybrid method gives significant result than the existing one. Since it rejected the null hypothesis, so it shows \( H_A \) is true.

**IX. CONCLUSION**

It is observed that the proposed hybrid methods gives only maximum of 30 attributes and the existing methods like Relative Reduct and Particle Swarm Optimization gives more than 30 attributes. From the above result, it is proved that the classification accuracy and Kappa Statistic values are increased using Artificial Neural Network with MLP. The error rates like Mean Absolute Error, Root Mean Squared Error, and Relative Absolute Error and Root Relative Absolute Error values are reduced in the proposed hybrid than the existing techniques. True Positive Rate is increased whereas the FPR (False Positive Rate) is reduced in the proposed method. And the values of Precision and Recall are also slightly increased than the existing one. Based on the selected features, the decision can be made whether the given input is high or moderate or low significant. From the table 4, it can be concluded that the proposed hybrid method performs well in all the aspects than the existing methods. From the table 5, it is concluded that the Statistical Hypothesis test for significance result gives there is significance difference by the proposed method for better classification result than the existing method, since it rejects the null hypothesis. In the future, a hybrid deep belief network to be proposed for the web document classification.
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