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II. METHODOLOGY
The main objectives of this work. Determine the optimum coolant velocity over the manifold for the varying mass flow rate in order to maintain the temperature of gas entering the catalytic converter above 550°C. Only if this temperature is maintained will the catalytic converter work with an efficiency of above 80 percentage.

To obtain a polynomial expression to determine the particular coolant velocity at various mass flow rates for a particular thicknesses. This can be implemented in the cooling system thereby maintaining catalytic converter efficiency.

To identify and suggest the thickness of the manifold at which the stress developed is minimum and hence reduce the chances of failure.

Material
Most exhaust manifolds are made of Cast iron, which is a brittle, porous, iron-carbon alloy while in some cases other materials such as nodular iron, stainless steel or heavy gauge steel are used. Silicon-molly cast ductile irons are emerging as the material of choice and the Replacement material for others in order to meet the increasing operating temperature requirements for manifolds.

Properties preferred in a manifold material
Corrosion resistance, low thermal conductivity, low thermal expansion coefficients, high temperature fatigue strength, high temperature creep resistance, anti-oxidation properties and high temperature yield strength.

Desirable characteristics of the manifold
The manifold should provide unrestricted flow of the exhaust gases to minimize the effect on the breathing capacity of the engine. The exhaust manifold must be capable of withstanding extreme temperature and the consequent expansion and contraction, the thermal shock from road splash and the vibration loads due to engine/vehicle operation. Should ensure leak free gas discharge from the engine to the down pipe. Should ensure durability up to 160,000 km without cracks or other failures. Should minimize heat loss during the warm-up phase to allow quick catalyst light-off; and in hot operation to avoid excessive heating of surrounding components. Minimize weight to improve fuel efficiency.

Abstract—The heat transfer conditions in automotive exhaust piping are only recently being studied in depth because of their important role in the design and the optimization phases of exhaust after treatment systems. With the advent of the EURO 4 norms the design of the exhaust manifold plays a very crucial role. In order to fulfill the above, the temperature of the exhaust gas has to be maintained above a particular level in order to make sure that the catalytic converter works to its full efficiency. If the temperature of the exhaust gas entering the catalytic converter reduces less than 550°C reduces the efficiency of the converter by 80%. Here the exhaust manifold in the cylinder head is modeled in CATIA. The various inputs required for ANSYS and coolant velocity values, for various thicknesses and mass flow rate are calculated. From the resulting tabulation a polynomial expression relating mass flow rate and coolant velocity is formed. Inputs are then given to ANSYS to analyze the stress developed in each case. From this the best possible result is obtained.
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I. INTRODUCTION
Heat transfer in these systems has only recently attracted the importance they deserve. Due to their key role in the design of modern exhaust after-treatment systems. Such studies are today important for better understanding of these systems and, thus, being able to influence cold-start warm-up of the catalytic converter, thermal ageing of the converter. Oncoming automotive exhaust emissions standards focus on the minimization of cold start emissions for catalyst-equipped diesel automobiles. In that context, all passive means of achieving Maximum possible catalyst efficiency must be exhaustively examined. The complex geometry of the exhaust manifold and the special flow conditions complicate the problem of accurately estimating several heat transfer parameters.

The acquisition of useful data for the estimation of heat transfer rates and their application in the optimized design of various exhaust configurations forms the subject of the present work. Here we take advantage of computer models to analyze the effect of the variation of various parameters that govern the heat transfer in the manifold. Selected results are illustrated in form of temperature, stress and deformation distribution plots. Some suggestions for design improvements are therefore also presented.
economy. Provide optimized flow distribution to the oxygen sensor to ensure good air/fuel feedback to the engine management system. Transport exhaust gases well-mixed and free of particles to the EGR (Exhaust Gas recirculation) port.

III. ANALYTICAL METHOD

Heat transfer calculations

Convection loads are to be applied in ansys, it is required that all values of temperatures and heat transfer coefficients be calculated prior to the analysis for mass flow rates of mg=.

0.014, .015, .016 and the different thickness from 10 to 1mm. Exhaust gas temperatures: Tags in=650°c, T gas out=550°c mgas=.016kg/s@1500rpm

- Tbulk=(650+550)/2 =600°c

Internal convection: Properties of exhaust gas at bulk temperature,

Density, pg=.404kg/m3
Kinematic viscosity, γg=96.89∗10−6m2/s
Prandtl number, Prg=0.699
Thermal conductivity, Kg=62.22∗10−3w/mk
Specific heat Cpg=1.114∗103kJ/kg k

- Heat lost by exhaust gases, Q=mg∗Cpg∗(Tgas in−T gas out)

=0.016∗1.114∗103(650–550 )

=1782.4 W

Internal convection heat transfer :

- Velocity of exhaust gas , Vg = mg /(ρg∗(∏/ 4)∗Di 2)

= 0.016 / (0.404∗0.25Π∗0.0325 2)  = 47.73 m/s

- Reynolds number, Reg= (Vg∗Di )/ γ g

= (47.73∗0.0325)/ (96.89∗10−6)  = 16013.5

• Nusselt number, Nu st= 0.023∗Reg0.8∗Prg0.3

= 0.023∗16013.5 0.8∗0.6990.3  = 47.71

Corrected Nusselt number, Nu bent =167.65

• Internal heat transfer coefficient, Hi= (Nu bent/(Di/Kg))

=320.97 w/mk

Heat lost by exhaust gases= Heat transferred by internal convection=Q

- Heat transferred by convection, Q= Hi∗(Nu bent/(Di/Kg))

=(167.65/(0.0325/(62.22∗3)))=320.97 w/m

Conduction heat transfer:

Heat lost by exhaust gases= Heat transferred by conduction

Thermal conductivity of wall , Kw=54.47

For a thickness of 10mm,Dext =0.0525m

- Heat transferred by conduction, Q= [Tsi −Tsext ][ln(Dext/ Di )]/(2Πi+1∗Kw)

=320.97∗Tsext −302.79°c

External convection heat transfer: Heat lost by exhaust gases= Heat transferred by external convection

Coolant initial temperature, T∞ =70°c , li=l ext

- Film temperature, Tf=( Tsext + T∞)/2

= (289.14 + 70)/2 =179.57°c

For=179.57°c for water,

Kinematic viscosity, γl =0.173∗10−6m2/s
Prandtl number, Pr l=1.044,

Thermal conductivity, Kl=675.7∗10-3w/mk

Heat transferred by External conduction,

Q=Hext∗Ao∗(Tf - T∞)

=Hext∗Π∗Dext∗lext∗(Tf - T∞)

=538.95w/m2k

=538.94∗0.0525/(675.7∗10−3 ) =4180

- Nu laminar=0.664∗√(Re l)∗3√(Pr l)=0.673∗√(Re l)

- Nu turbulent=0.037∗Re l∗10.8∗Pr l/(1+2443∗Re l−0.1∗( Pr l/23-1))

=(0.0386∗Re l 10.8)/(1+71.14∗Re l−0.1)

- Nu ext= 0.3∗ √( Nu laminar2+ Nu turbulent2 )

=41.806= 0.3∗ √((0.673∗√(Re l) 2+((0.0386∗Re l 10.8)/(1+71.14∗Re l−0.1))2 )

⇒ Re l =3826.21

Reynolds number of coolant, Re l = (V l*Dext)/( γl)=3.045∗105

⇒ coolant velocity required = l =0.01246m/s
IV. NUMERICAL METHOD

The model of the exhaust manifold is created using CATIA V5. Since the flow path in the manifold is made up of different cross sections, the loft option is used to draw the model.

The particular case being dealt here is an exhaust manifold that is water-cooled and is inside the cylinder head. Therefore,
as opposed to other air-cooled manifolds that are tubular and uniform cross section, this manifold is, made of circles and ellipses of varying dimensions. Therefore considerable time and care is taken to model the component. One another problem faced is that the central axis is bent.

**Lofting**

After all the cross sections are created, they are all connected using the loft option in Catia which gives us a solid bent structure as shown in the figure.

**Ansysis Simulation of Exhaust Manifold**

**Thermal analysis**

The thermal loads that act on the body are applied to the model. There are two loads that act here Convection load on internal surface and Convection load on external surface. To apply the loads, first the respective areas on which the load is to be applied are selected after which the load are applied. Here, for the inner surface, convection film coefficient & bulk temperature of the gas from manual calculations are applied for the respective cases to imitate the flow of the exhaust gas. Whereas, for the external surface, external film coefficient and the film temperatures are input as the load values to imitate coolant flow. This assumption is valid as all manual calculations for internal convection is done for bulk temperature and for external Convection, film temperature is used.

Red and blue wire frames spread across the surfaces to denote that a load has been assigned.

**Solution (thermal)**

Ansys to solve any problem it is necessary to provide information as to what kind of an analysis is being considered (i.e. static or transient etc). The new analysis menu is selected and static option is selected as only static case is dealt in this project.

Picked on to start solving of all the equations for the current load step. After this step, all the solved data across the model are stored in arth file in the same directory which would function as a temperature input during the structural phase of the analysis.

The first set option is picked to read in all the results generated in the solve process into the model to visualize parameters.

Generate various graphics required for the analysis.3dplots for temperature distribution are Generated and stored in the current working directory and generate the temperature values for every individual node to identify the maximum and minimum temperature on nodes or areas.
V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

For a constant thickness

- With increase in the mass flow rate, the external surface temperature is found to decrease whereas the external heat transfer coefficient was found to increase.

- With decrease in mass flow rate, the coolant velocity over the manifold needs to be decreased.

For a constant mass flow rate

- With decrease in the thickness of the manifold, both the external surface temperature & the external surface heat transfer coefficient are increase. With decrease in the thickness of the manifold, the coolant velocity needs to be increased.

For a thickness of 10mm, the variation of coolant velocity with mass flow rate is found to follow a particular pattern. From the curve fitting operation, a general polynomial expression is derived which signifies the coolant velocity required for every mass flow rate. The above expression is to be implemented in the cooling system to maintain the exhaust temperature at the manifold exit above 550°C for the efficient functioning of the Catalytic converter.

From Ansys and plots

From the tabulations and the graphs plotted, we observe that the stress developed in the manifold with a decrease in thickness:

- Decreases gradually from a thickness of 10mm to 6mm and then
- Increases steeply from thickness of 6mm to 1mm.

Therefore, selection of either too thick or too thin a manifold would result in stress and therefore cracking. From the patterns in the graphs we identify that the 6mm thickness has the minimum stress of all options.
• Thickness 1mm was found to outrightly violate maximum principal stress theory. Therefore would work out to be a failure model.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The optimum coolant velocity over the manifold for which the exhaust temperature is maintained above the minimum required for efficient operation of the catalytic converter is determined and the polynomial expression that denotes coolant velocities required for different mass flow rates of the exhaust is as below:

\[ V_l = 0.1 - 20 * mg + 1.3e+003 * mg^2 - 2.5e+004 * mg^3 \]

Further thickness value for the manifold that would result in reduced thermal stress and simultaneously maintain the exhaust temperature is found out to be 6mm. Therefore this value of thickness is suggested as a design modification and the polynomial expression for this thickness value is found to be:

\[ V_l = 0.12 - 2.7e^{-23} * mg + 1.5e+003 * mg^2 + 004 * mg^3 \]
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