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Abstract: Frequent pattern mining is a heavily researched area in the field of data mining with wide range of applications. Finding a frequent pattern (or items) play essential role in data mining. Efficient algorithm to discover frequent patterns is essential in data mining research. A number of research works have been published that presenting new algorithm or improvements on existing algorithm to solve data mining problem efficiently. In that Apriori algorithm is the first algorithm proposed in this field. By the time of change or improvement in Apriori algorithm, which compressed large database in to small tree data structure like FP tree, CAN tree and CP tree have been discovered. In CP tree, like FP tree it contains frequent and non frequent items at the mining time. So item required to extract frequent pattern is more. In this paper I propose a new novel tree structure - extension of CP tree that extract all frequent pattern from transactional database using CP-mine algorithm. So at the mining time it contains only frequent patterns. In my proposed algorithm it mine frequent item set from our proposed tree structure by using pruning tree and marked value technique. This tree structure constructs compact prefix tree structure with one database scan and it provide same mining performance as FP growth technique by efficient tree restructuring process. My proposed tree structure support interactive mining and incremental mining without rescan the original database.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Data mining is a powerful new technology with great potential to help companies focus on the most important information in the gathering data. It discovers some related information within data that queries and reports can’t effectively reveal. Data mining performing interesting knowledge, regularities or high level information can be extracted from databases and viewed from different angle. This discovered knowledge can be applied to decision making control, information management and query processing [13]. A procedure called mining frequent item sets is a foundation step of association rules discovering. The problem of mining association rules- and the more general problem of finding frequent pattern- from large database has been subject of numerous studies [8]. In general first algorithm attempt to mine association rule is Apriori algorithm that depend on generate and test paradigm. They compute frequent pattern by generating candidate and checking their frequencies (i.e. support count) against the transactional database. To improve the efficiency of mining process, Han et. Al proposed an alternative framework [6], namely a tree based on the framework the algorithm they proposed in this framework to construct an extended new tree data structure, called Frequent pattern tree that capture the content of the transactional database rather than employing the generate-and-test strategy of Apriori algorithm, such tree based algorithm focus on frequent pattern growth-which is restricted test-only approach. And some tree based incremental mining algorithm were developed that is Cheung and Zaiane [11] proposed FELINE algorithm with CATS tree and where KOH and Shieh[12] proposed AFPIM algorithm. The former aim to make CATS tree (a variant of FPtree) compact and proposed for incremental mining, while FELINE algorithm well suited for interactive mining. So, it requires two database scan. CATS tree [8] is a single-pass solution but it still suffers from complex tree construction process. The above two limitation are well addressed in CanTree [3] ,that captures the complete information in a canonical order of terms from database into a prefix-tree structure in order to facilitate it for incremental and interactive mining using FP-growth mining technique. Since in the Can tree all items are arranged in canonical order so it usually yields poor compaction in tree size compared to FP-tree [3]. In CP-tree[1] , it construct compact tree data structure with one database scan and provides the same mining performance as the FP-growth technique by efficient tree restructuring process. CP-tree keeps all the items in the tree after restructuring phase. In this paper, we propose novel new tree data structure which is an extension of CP-Tree. Unlike CP tree, a new tree contains only frequent items in the tree at the mining time like FP-Tree but still extract frequent item set from database with only one database scan like CP-Tree. In our proposed tree structure use CP-mine algorithm for mining frequent pattern still it give better performance compared to FP-growth algorithm.. The main aim of CP-mine algorithm is to improve an efficiency of mining frequent pattern by using pruning and tree and marked value technique [14]. The organization our paper is as follow: In section II we put our idea of proposed data structure in detail. In section III showed several experiment on real and synthetic dataset with different support value for CP tree and our proposed tree structure.

II. RELATED WORK

In this section key concept and terms widely used in frequent pattern mining explained. In this section we discuss some tree data structure like FP Tree,Can Tree and CP tree and also discuss some issue related to that. In first known proposed method for extra frequent pattern is Apriori algorithm proposed by Agrawal et al [7]. There have been developed enormous modified versions to improve it]. Since the main drawback for Apriori-based algorithms was
III. OVERVIEW OF PROPOSED DATA STRUCTURE

In this section, we introduce a new tree data structure which is an extension of CP-Tree. We have seen that CP-Tree contains all the items (frequent and also non-frequent) in the tree at the mining time. We introduce a new tree which contains only frequent items at the mining time like FP-Tree but still extract frequent item sets from database with only one database scan like CP-Tree with CP-mine algorithm. In FP growth algorithm when mining a long frequent item set on large database, the algorithm is significantly outperforms the Apriori algorithm. When we keep only small portion of candidate item sets becomes frequent item sets then generating FP tree which is very costly. In CP-mine it extract frequent item set from our proposed tree structure by using pruning tree and marked value technique.

A. Proposed tree construction

Like a CP tree, our new proposed structure tree structure contain two phase. (i) Insertion Phase and (ii) Restructure Phase. In first phase it scan all transaction(s) inserted in to tree according to current item order of I-list and update the frequency count of respective items in I-list. Next phase is rearrange I-list according to frequency descending order of items and restructure a tree nodes according to new I-list. But our proposed data structure like FP tree it contain only frequent items in restructure phase. In this restructure phase it sorted items uses Branch Sorting Method (BSM). It is an array-based technique that performs the branch-by-branch restructuring process from the root of T. In BSM it sorts each path in the branch according to the new sort order by removing path from the tree it sorting in to temporary array and again inserting it in to the tree. However, while processing a path, if it is found path which is already in sorted order then that path is skipped and move to the next path. And finally restructuring mechanism is completed when all the branches are processed which produces the final Tsort. Here these two phase are dynamically executed in alternate fashion, starting with insertion phase the first part of DB and end with the restructuring phase at the end of DB.
As shown in fig 1. (a) First items inserted into tree which are not in frequency descending order and construct tree. In restructure phase I-list sorted according to frequency descending order and generate new I-list that contain only frequent items like FP tree which shown in fig (b).

In tree, restructuring phase start with the first branch that is leftmost branch. From the first path \{a:1?c:1?e:1\} of the branch which is un sorted path is removed from the tree. And sorted it in temporary array to the order \{a:1?e:1\} that satisfying I sort order then again inserted into tree, branch which is already in sorted is skipped and move to next branch as shown fig (c),(d),(d1). After the construction of the tree, it mine frequent pattern using CP-mine algorithm. This algorithm is variation of FP growth algorithm. This proposed algorithm also discovers entire frequent item set from the treelike FP tree. But in CP-mine does need to recursively construct new tree during mining frequent item set. CP-mine algorithm determine frequent item sets from only one tree that is our proposed tree structure, where FP tree has to construct many (sub)trees. We use marked Value and pruning tree to trim irrelevance sub tree and infrequent item sets. Here we show proposed mining algorithm. In proposed mining algorithm mine frequent item set starting from \((n-1)^{th}\) item set and assume that item set as new root. We then examine every path under this root. This root recursively determined for frequent item sets and this step repeated until first item in header table. Here as shown fig 2. We put only all non-frequent items in pruning item starting from bottom repeat until it reach the first item. As shown in fig.3 marked value is performed in order to trim some sub tree. Let us consider item set bd is frequent (because is support is 3), so the algorithm need to recursively performed. Here as shown in fig.4 marked value of item d which is child of b is et to 1 and considering a prefix d in the tree. So, it only traverse node which is set to 1, instead of traversing all sub trees.

Fig.2 Total Processing Of Restructure Phase of My Proposed Data Structure

Fig. 3 Pruning Tree
Fig. 4 the Marked Value indicate the Sub trees in Propose tree

B. Proposed data structure support

Incremental Mining:

The main goal of the incremental mining is to provide environment so that all frequent patterns can discovered when DB constantly updated, such that when new transaction(s) are added and/or old transactions are removed from, the pattern can be mined without restarting the mining process from the scratch. Here we save the tree after inserting all items in I-list so when adding new transaction(s) or remove old transaction(s) form the save we easily performed according to that we restructure tree in restructure phase without need to rescan the database.

Interactive Mining:

The main goal of interactive mining that once tree constructed it can change support value without rebuilding tree or rescan database. Here in our proposed tree structure it save the tree after inserting all transactions. So if user easily changed support value and according to that it restructure tree without rebuilt tree or rescan the database, like FP tree We have support of all items on our I-list, so we can keep only frequent items according to different minimum support without rescan the database.

IV. EXPERIMENT RESULTS

In this section, the performance of our proposed structure evaluated by comparing with it CP tree structure. All experiments are performed on a 3.0 GHz Pentium VI pc machine with 1 GB memory and 40 GB hard disk running on a MS window XP professional. Both tree structures are implemented in java (jdk6).Here we perform experiments on two kind of dataset, synthetic and real world dataset. Here we take both database from Item set Mining Dataset Repository.

Table 1.Total time require for execute Mushroom Dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support value</th>
<th>CP-Tree</th>
<th>My proposed Tree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>23000</td>
<td>2406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>23782</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>23047</td>
<td>1719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>23360</td>
<td>1594</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.5 Performance study of CP-tree and Proposed tree

Mushroom Dataset

In first shown total time required to extract frequent pattern for Mushroom dataset from real dataset for CP tree and proposed data structure with respective different support value Here as shown in table 1 total time require to extract frequent items in CP tree and proposed tree date structure. In fig.5 shows performance study for both, as shown in table 2, the time require to execute CP tree is almost four times higher than our proposed data structure.

Table 2.Total Time Require For Execute T10I4D100K Dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support value</th>
<th>CP-Tree</th>
<th>My proposed Tree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>386188</td>
<td>188094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>391407</td>
<td>206172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>381000</td>
<td>218969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>380579</td>
<td>199125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.6 Performance study of CP-tree and Proposed tree for T10I4D100K Dataset

Similarly we have shown in fig.6 performance study for T10I4D100k synthetic dataset. In that we take different support value for CP tree and proposed data structure and calculate total execution time, which represent in Table 2. The time require to extract frequent pattern in proposed data structure less than CP tree. In fig.7, it shows time require to construct both proposed tree and CP tree for different real database. In that total construction time includes both insertions phase and restructure phase of both
tree. In fig.8 shows total execution time require extracting frequent pattern CP tree and proposed data structure.

CONCLUSION

a) The advantage of new tree data structure is that it occupies less memory as compared to CP tree because it stores only frequent items.

b) Furthermore, it also supports Interactive mining like Can-Tree and CP-Tree, means if user specified minimum support is changed then also it can extract frequent patterns without the need to rescan the database.

c) In addition that, it also supports Incremental mining like Can Tree and CP-Tree, means later if transaction(s) are added or old transaction(s) are deleted then also it can extract frequent patterns without the need to rescan the original database.

d) This data structure not only mines frequent pattern using CP-mine algorithm but also gives better performance compared FP-growth algorithm.

e) There are many algorithms to extract frequent patterns once tree is constructed. Here we extract frequent patterns using cp-mine algorithm from our proposed tree data structure. CT-Pro [10] and other algorithm can also be used once CFP-tree is constructed to optimize the result.
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